

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1 1. A node of a telecommunications network which performs a connection
2 admission control (CAC) operation with respect to a new connection by making a
3 determination of log loss ratio and buffer size for a queue having real traffic and
4 imaginary traffic, the connection admission control (CAC) operation admitting the new
5 connection if (1) the determination of log loss is acceptable; (2) the buffer size is
6 acceptable; and (3) the imaginary traffic contribution is non-negative.

1 2. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the imaginary traffic is a multiple of a pre-
2 determined set of connections.

1 3. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the connection admission control (CAC)
2 operation uses the following four state variables:

3 (1) a linear term $z(s,t)$ in an approximation to the log loss ratio at a working point
4 (s,t) ;

5 (2) an argument $c(s,t)$ of a logarithmic term in the approximation to the log loss
6 ratio at working point (s,t) ;

7 (3) a buffer limit $B(s,t)$ used at the working point (s,t) ; and

8 (4) a multiplier $m(s,t)$ of the imaginary traffic used at the working point (s,t) .

1 4. The apparatus of claim 3, wherein a value for at least one of the four state
2 variables depends upon an evaluation of a log moment generating function.

2 5. The apparatus of claim 3, wherein a value for at least one of the four state
3 variables depends upon an evaluation of a log moment generating function and two
4 partial derivatives of the log moment generating function of workload of the queue over
5 a time interval.

1 6. The apparatus of claim 3, wherein the working point (s,t) is picked from a
2 set of candidate working points as performing well with a particular design traffic mix.

1 7. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein the determination is made at a
2 predetermined working point.

1 8. The apparatus of claim 7, wherein the predetermined working point is
2 picked from a set of candidate working points as performing well with a particular
3 design traffic mix.

1 9. The apparatus of claim 1, wherein, with respect to a new connection, the
2 connection admission control (CAC) operation, at at least one working point,
3 determines whether to admit new traffic by:

- 4 (1) making plural determinations, the plural determinations including :
 - 5 (a) a determination of a log loss approximation q ;
 - 6 (b) a determination of a buffer limit B ; and
 - 7 (c) a determination of a multiplier m of design traffic;
- 8 (2) maintaining plural state variables initialized to respective initialization
9 values, the plural state variables being used to make the determinations of
10 (1); and
- 11 (3) adding increments to the four state variables for the new connection.

1 10. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the plural state variables are:

- 2 (1) a linear term $z(s,t)$ in an approximation to the log loss ratio at a working point
3 (s,t) ;
- 4 (2) an argument $c(s,t)$ of a logarithmic term in the approximation to the log loss
5 ratio at working point (s,t) ;
- 6 (3) a buffer limit $B(s,t)$ used at the working point (s,t) ; and
- 7 (4) a multiplier $m(s,t)$ of the imaginary traffic used at the working point (s,t) .

1 11. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the log loss approximation is $q = z - \log$
2 c.

1 12. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the four state variables are maintained
 2 at the following respective initialization values:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(s; t) &= a_c(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) \\
 z(s; t) &= a_z(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \log(st) \\
 3 \quad B(s; t) &= -Ct + a_B(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{1}{s} \\
 m(s; t) &= a_m(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

4 where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_c(s; t) &= \frac{R_o}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)} \\
 a_z(s; t) &= \frac{\mu_0(s; t) - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_0(s; t)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)} \\
 5 \quad a_B(s; t) &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_0(s; t)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)} \\
 a_m(s; t) &= \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)}
 \end{aligned}$$

6 where

- 7 R₀ is a mean rate of design traffic;
 8 μ₀(s;t) is a log moment generating function of design traffic;
 9 $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_0(s; t)$ is a partial derivative with respect to s, design traffic;

10 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)$ is a partial derivative with respect to t, design traffic;

11 C is a constant service rate.

1 13. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the following increments are added to
2 the four state variables for the new connection:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta c(s; t) &= r - a_c(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t) \\ \Delta z(s; t) &= \mu_a(s; t) - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_a(s; t) - a_z(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t) \\ \Delta B_R(s; t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_a(s; t) - a_B(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t) \\ \Delta m_R(s; t) &= -a_m(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t)\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

4 where

5 r is a mean rate of the new connection;

6 $\mu_a(s; t)$ is a log moment generating function of arrival of the new
7 connection;

8 $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_a(s; t)$ Partial derivative with respect to s, new connection

9 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t)$ is a partial derivative with respect to t, new connection.

1 14. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein the connection admission control
2 (CAC) operation subtracts the increments of (3) when the new connection is cleared.

1 15. The apparatus of claim 9, wherein the connection admission control (CAC)
2 operation determines to admit the new connection if all the following are true:
3

4 q is less than or equal to the log loss ratio required by the quality of
 5 service (QOS) of the traffic;

6 B is less than or equal to the limit set by available buffer space and QOS
 7 delay requirements;

8 m is non-negative; and

9 $R + mR_0 - C \leq (R + mR_0) e^{q_{\max}}$

10 where

11 R is a mean rate of all real connections, including the new connection;

12 q_{\max} is a log loss ratio required by the QOS of the traffic.

1 16. The apparatus of claim 10, wherein a set of plural state variables is
 2 maintained for each of plural priority levels of connections, each of the plural priority
 3 levels having an associated queue.

1 17. The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the connection admission control
 2 operation treats high priority level queue as if lower priority traffic did not exist.

1 18. The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the connection admission control
 2 operation treats a low priority queue as being offered a sum of traffic on the low priority
 3 level and all higher priority levels.

1 19. The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the queues of the plural priority levels
 2 share a common buffer space of limited size, and wherein the log loss ratio in a lower
 3 priority queue is checked according to the following loss rate inequality:

4

5 $Re^q - R_H e^{q_H} \leq R_L e^{q_{\max}} \quad (3)$

6 wherein

7 R_L is a mean rate of traffic through the lower priority queue;

8 q_{Lmax} is a log loss ratio required by the traffic through the lower

9 priority queue;

10 R_H is a mean rate of traffic through all higher priority queues

11 together;

12 q_H is a log loss ratio of traffic through all higher priority queues

13 together;

14 R is a mean rate of traffic through the lower priority queue and

15 all higher priority queues together; and

16 q is a log loss ratio of traffic through the lower priority queue

17 and all higher priority queues together.

1 20. The apparatus of claim 16, wherein the node has plural servers in series,
2 wherein the plural queues are treated as if served by only one of the servers at a time,
3 each server maintaining a set of the plural state variables, and wherein the connection
4 admission control operation decides to admit the new connection if a slowest server
5 admits the new connection.

1 21. A connection admission control method for a node of a telecommunications
2 system, the method comprising:

3 (I) making a determination of log loss ratio and buffer size for a queue having
4 real traffic and imaginary traffic;
5 (II) admitting a new connection if (1) the determination of log loss ratio is
6 acceptable; (2) the buffer size is acceptable; and (3) the imaginary traffic contribution is
7 non-negative.

1 22. The method of claim 21, wherein the imaginary traffic is a multiple of a pre-
2 determined set of connections.

1 23. The method of claim 21, further comprising using the following four state
2 variables in either of step (I) or step (II):

- 3 (1) a linear term $z(s,t)$ in an approximation to the log loss ratio at a working point
4 (s,t) ;
5 (2) an argument $c(s,t)$ of a logarithmic term in the approximation to the log loss
6 ratio at working point (s,t) ;
7 (3) a buffer limit $B(s,t)$ used at the working point (s,t) ; and
8 (4) a multiplier $m(s,t)$ of the imaginary traffic used at the working point (s,t) .

1
2 24. The method of claim 23, wherein a value for at least one of the four state
3 variables depends upon an evaluation of a log moment generating function.

1
2 25. The method of claim 23, wherein a value for at least one of the four state
3 variables depends upon an evaluation of a log moment generating function and two
4 partial derivatives of the log moment generating function of workload the queue over a
5 time interval.

1
2 26. The method of claim 23, further comprising picking the working point (s,t)
3 from a set of candidate working points as performing well with a particular design
3 traffic mix.

1
2 27. The method of claim 23, further comprising making the determination of
2 step (I) is made at a predetermined working point.

1
2 28. The method of claim 27, further comprising picking the predetermined
3 working point is picked from a set of candidate working points as performing well with
3 a particular design traffic mix.

1
2 29. The method of claim 21, further comprising, determining whether to admit
2 new traffic by:

- 3 (1) making plural determinations, the plural determinations including :
4 (a) a determination of a log loss approximation q ;
5 (b) a determination of a buffer limit B ; and
6 (c) a determination of a multiplier m of design traffic;

- 7 (2) maintaining plural state variables initialized to respective initialization
 8 values, the plural state variables being used to make the determinations of
 9 (1); and
 10 (3) adding increments to the four state variables for the new connection.

- 1 30. The method of claim 29, wherein the plural state variables are:
 2 (1) a linear term $z(s,t)$ in an approximation to the log loss ratio at a working point
 3 (s,t) ;
 4 (2) an argument $c(s,t)$ of a logarithmic term in the approximation to the log loss
 5 ratio at working point (s,t) ;
 6 (3) a buffer limit $B(s,t)$ used at the working point (s,t) ; and
 7 (4) a multiplier $m(s,t)$ of the imaginary traffic used at the working point (s,t) .

- 1 31. The method of claim 30, wherein the log loss approximation is $q = z - \log$
 2 c.

- 1 32. The method of claim 30, further comprising maintaining the four state
 2 variables at the following respective initialization values:

$$\begin{aligned} c(s, t) &= a_c(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) \\ z(s; t) &= a_z(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \log(st) \\ B(s; t) &= -Ct + a_B(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) - \frac{1}{s} \\ m(s; t) &= a_m(s; t) \left(Cs + \frac{1}{t} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

4 where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_c(s; t) &= \frac{R_o}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)} \\
 a_z(s; t) &= \frac{\mu_0(s; t) - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_0(s; t)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)} \\
 a_b(s; t) &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_0(s; t)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)} \\
 a_m(s; t) &= \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)}
 \end{aligned}$$

5

6

where

- 7 R_o is a mean rate of design traffic;
- 8 $\mu_0(s; t)$ is a log moment generating function of design traffic;
- 9 $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_0(s; t)$ is a partial derivative with respect to s, design traffic;
- 10 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_0(s; t)$ is a partial derivative with respect to t, design traffic;
- 11 C is a constant service rate.

1 33. The method of claim 30, wherein the following increments are added to the
 2 four state variables for the new connection:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta c(s; t) &= r - a_c(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t) \\
 \Delta z(s; t) &= \mu_a(s; t) - s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_a(s; t) - a_z(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t) \\
 \Delta B_R(s; t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_a(s; t) - a_B(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t) \\
 \Delta m_R(s; t) &= -a_m(s; t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

4 where

$$8 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mu_a(s; t) \quad \text{Partial derivative with respect to } s, \text{ new connection}$$

9 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_a(s; t)$ is a partial derivative with respect to t, new connection.

1 34. The method of claim 29, wherein the connection admission control (CAC)
2 operation subtracts the increments of (3) when the new connection is cleared.

1 35. The method of claim 29, wherein the connection admission control (CAC)
2 operation determines to admit the new connection if all the following are true:

4 q is less than or equal to the log loss ratio required by the quality of
5 service (QOS) of the traffic;

6 B is less than or equal to the limit set by available buffer space and QOS
7 delay requirements;

⁸ m is non-negative; and

$$R + mR_0 - C \leq (R + mR_0) e^{q_{\max}}$$

10 where

R is a mean rate of all real connections, including the new connection:

12 q_{\max} is a log loss ratio required by the QOS of the traffic.

1 36. The method of claim 29, further comprising maintaining a set of plural state
2 variables for each of plural priority levels of connections, each of the plural priority
3 levels having an associated queue.

1 37. The method of claim 36, further comprising treating a high priority level
2 queue as if lower priority traffic did not exist.

1 38. The method of claim 36, further comprising treating a low priority queue as
2 being offered a sum of traffic on the low priority level and all higher priority levels.

1 39. The method of claim 36, further comprising the queues of the plural priority
2 levels sharing a common buffer space of limited size, and further comprising checking
3 the log loss ratio in a lower priority queue according to the following loss rate
4 inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re}^q - R_u e^{q_H} \leq R_s e^{q_{\max}} \quad (3)$$

7 wherein

R_l is a mean rate of traffic through the lower priority queue;

13 q_H is a log loss ratio of traffic through all higher priority queues
14 together;

15 R is a mean rate of traffic through the lower priority queue and
16 all higher priority queues together; and

1 40. The method of claim 36, further comprising providing plural servers in
2 series in the node, treating the plural queues as if served by only one of the servers at a
3 time, maintaining a set of the plural state variables at each server, and deciding to admit
4 the new connection if the slowest server admits the new connection.